1914
F814

FOSTER

The Utilization of Ingested Protein as Influenced by Undermastication (Bolting) and Overmastication (Fletcherizing)

Chemistry

M. S.

1914

UNIV. OF
ILLINOIS
LIBRARY

THE UNIVERSITY
OF ILLINOIS
LIBRARY
1914
F814

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2013

http://archive.org/details/utilizationofing00fost

THE UTILIZATION OF INGESTED PROTEIN AS INFLUENCED BY UNDERMASTICATION (BOLTING) AND OVERMASTICATION (FLETCHERIZING)

BY

LAURENCE FLEMING FOSTER
A. B. Albion College,
1910

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the
Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN CHEMISTRY

IN

THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

1914

UIUC

1914
F814

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

June 4, 1914

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THAT THE THESIS PREPARED UNDER MY SUPERVISION BY

LAURENCE FLEMING FOSTER

ENTITLED THE UTILIZATION OF INGESTED PROTEIN AS INFLUENCED BY UNDERMASTICATION (BOLTING) AND OVERMASTICATION (FLETCHERIZING)

BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

P. B. Hawk
In Charge of Major Work

W. A. Noyes
Head of Department

Recommendation concurred in:

Committee
on
Final Examination

284566

C O N T E N T S

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1 - 2
II. DESCRIPTION	2 - 6
A. Purpose and Plan	2
B. Subjects	3
C. Diet	3
D. Methods	4
E. Daily routine	5
III. EXPERIMENTAL	6 - 21
A. Discussion of Data	6
1. Subject F	6
Table I	7
2. Subject J	9
Table II	10
B. The Composition of the Feces	12
1. Metabolic products	12
2. Bacteria	14
3. Estimation of metabolic nitrogen in feces	15
Table III	16
a. Subject F	17
Table IV and V	18
Table VI	21
b. Subject J	19
Table VII and VIII	20
Table IX	21
IV. GENERAL DISCUSSION	22 - 23
V. SUMMARY	24 - 25

I. INTRODUCTION

Within the last few years attention has been frequently called to the importance of the proper mastication of food. Campbell (1) in 1903 made the following statements: "The primary object of mastication is to break up the food so as (1) to facilitate the swallowing of it and (2) to insure its admixture with the digestive juices, both of the mouth and whole digestive canal. Mastication has other far-reaching effects. It promotes the flow of saliva, secures proper insalivation of food, increases the quantity of alkaline saliva passing into the stomach, stimulates the heart and circulation, and, finally, influences the nutrition of the jaws and their appendages by stimulating blood and lymph circulation. Proper mastication tends to diminish the amount of food consumed, by reducing the quantity needful to constitute a sufficiency, for the more perfectly a food is chewed the more perfectly is it digested and the more economically is it disposed of in the system. Insufficient mastication is the cause, direct or indirect, of many evils. It may cause local irritation resulting in acute gastritis; appendicitis is believed by Sir Frederick Treves to be due directly to food bolting, gastric intestinal catarrh may be induced through the action of certain toxins; gastric secretion may be checked through paralysis of stomach nerves, while the teeth and jaw structures are underdeveloped and disposed to disease."

Chittenden (2) has stated that thorough mastication of food

is a material aid to digestion which is to take place in the stomach and intestine. Metchnikoff (3), on the other hand, argued that it is harmful to chew food too long and to swallow it only after it has been kept in the mouth a considerable time. A disease known in America as "Bradyfagy" has arisen from the habit of eating too slowly. Einhorn (4) found that the disease is rapidly cured when the patients make up their minds to eat more quickly. According to Brown (5) the importance of chewing with reference to protein foods at least, has been grossly overestimated. He has emphasized that all high-protein feeding animals bolt their food.

Recently, the advantages of eating slowly and chewing thoroughly have been suggested by Horace Fletcher (6) and his associates. As a result the term, fletcherism, has crept into our language. Experimental work undertaken at the expense of Fletcher has shown that by practicing thorough mastication man can, for a time at least, maintain his life processes normally on a supply of protein food less than one-half as large as that prescribed by the socalled standard dietaries.

II. DESCRIPTION

A. Purpose and Plan

The purpose of this investigation was to study the utilization of a typical protein as influenced by different degrees of mastication. The experiment was conducted upon two young men and consisted of four seven-day periods as follows: (1) Preliminary normal, during which the food was masticated normally; (2) bolting,

in which the meat was swallowed with no attempt at mastication; (3) fletcherizing, when the food was chewed until carried down the oesophagus by the "swallowing impulse"; (4) final normal, in which ordinary mastication was practiced. The preliminary normal period of nine days was subdivided into a six-day and a three-day period. This explained by the fact that the diet was increased at the beginning of the latter sub-period.

B. Subjects

The subjects of this experiment were two laboratory assistants, F and J connected with the Chemistry Department of the University. F was a man of medium size, 22 years old and 58.3 kilograms in weight. Previous to the experiment he had been on a comparatively simple low protein diet. J was of larger build, 24 years of age, and weighed 63 kilograms. Previously, he had been ingesting a rather high protein diet at one of the local boarding clubs.

C. Diet

A uniform diet of the following composition was fed throughout the experiment:

Subject F			Subject J		
Food	Amount gm.or cc	Nitrogen gm.	Food	Amount gm.or cc	Nitrogen gm.
Beef	185	11.207	Beef	215	13.025
Graham crackers	150	1.932	Graham crack.	150	1.932
Milk	650	3.309	Milk	800	4.072
Butter	150	0.155	Butter	150	0.155
Water	1800		Water	2100	
Salt	16		Salt	2.0	
Agar-agar	10		Agar-agar	15	
Total		16.603	Total		19.184

It is to be noted that meat contributed the major portion of the protein of the diet. The meat which consisted of the best round steak procurable, was freed from all visible fat and connective tissue and was cut into 15 millimeter cubes. It was cooked by being allowed to simmer for two and one-half hours in boiling water. After thorough mixture it was sampled for analysis, placed in pint mason jars, and autoclaved at 115°C by the discontinuous method. The jars were stored in a refrigerator. Distilled water was drunk throughout the experiment. Agar-agar was added to the diet to facilitate defecation.

D. Methods

Carmine was used to separate the feces of the several periods, satisfactory differentiations resulting in every instance. The carmine was taken in a gelatine capsule with the initial meal of each period. Total nitrogen was determined in

foods, feces, and urine. The Kjeldahl method was employed, copper sulfate being used in the digestion. Analysis of feces was made on each individual stool, except when two or three small stools were passed within a short time, in which cases they were combined. Determinations were made in duplicate upon the fresh stools without previous drying. After defecation each stool was weighed, thoroughly mixed until uniform throughout, and transferred to a weighing bottle. As soon after as possible, samples were weighed for analysis. During the bolting period the macroscopic meat residues present in the feces were carefully removed and their nitrogen content determined separately. Urine was collected in twenty-four hour periods, two-liter acid bottles being used as containers and powdered thymol added as a preservative.

E. Daily Routine

The daily periods began and ended at 7:15 A.M. The daily program was as follows: 7:15 A.M. urination and defecation; 7:20, body weights without clothing; 7:45, breakfast; 12:00, luncheon; 5:00, exercise; 6:00, dinner; 10:30, bed. Defecation did not always occur before breakfast.

The daily ration was divided approximately into three equal portions, one for each meal. Subject F took 300 cc of water with each meal. Two or three hours after each meal 300 cc were drunk, making a total of 1800 cc for the 24 hours. Subject J also ingested 300 cc with each meal, but took 400 cc after meals, making a total 24 hour intake of 2100 cc. Exercise was taken at 5:00 each afternoon. This consisted either of a brisk two and a

half mile walk or a twenty minute swim in the University pool. Both subjects were working especially hard on research problems throughout the experiment.

III. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Discussion of Data

1. Subject F - Table I.

The protein utilization was calculated by the ordinary method:

$$\frac{\text{(Nitrogen in Food - Nitrogen in Feces) x 100}}{\text{Nitrogen in Food}} = \text{Percentage Utilization.}$$

In the preliminary normal period the average daily output of fecal nitrogen was 0.759 grams. This value was increased to 0.948 grams in the bolting period. The protein utilization fell from 95.43% to 94.29%. As a result of fletcherizing the food, the daily output of fecal nitrogen was lowered to 0.726 grams. This was a slight decrease from the value obtained in the preliminary normal period. A correspondingly higher utilization, 95.63 per cent was sustained during this period. In the final normal period the daily nitrogen output increased slightly to 0.765 grams, a value differing but little from that of the preliminary normal period.

The results obtained are not surprising. The fine comminution of the food brought about by fletcherizing would induce its more complete utilization, thus reducing the nitrogen output in the feces. On the other hand the fact that every stool passed during the bolting period contained macroscopic meat residue

Subject F. Table I.

Day	Urine Volume cc	Body Weight Kg.	Weight Stool (gms)	Total Nitrogen (gm) Food	Feces	Urine	(gm) Nitrogen Balance	(gm) Nitrogen Absorbed	Utilization %
				Preliminary Normal 3 days.					
1	1200	58.36	95.5	16.603	0.759	16.712	-0.868		
2	1568	58.38	127.0	"	"	16.351	-0.507		
3	1635	58.37	153.5	"	"	16.044	-0.200		
Average			94.0	"	"	16.369	-0.525	15.844	95.43
				Bolting 7 days					
1	1820	58.12	94.0	16.603	0.948	15.511	+0.144		
2	1580	57.94	121.5	"	"	16.273	-0.618		
3	1730	57.92	78.2	"	"	16.312	-0.657		
4	1490	58.10	104.9	"	"	16.250	-0.595		
5	1335	57.90	224.0	"	"	16.869	-1.214		
6	980	57.87	11.4	"	"	15.956	-0.301		
7	1160	57.81	-	"	"	16.433	-0.678		
Average			90.6	"	"	16.229	-0.574	15.655	94.29
				Fletcherizing 7 days					
1	1560	57.77	88.5	16.603	0.726	18.106	-0.229		
2	1530	57.69	158.0	"	"	17.234	-1.357		
3	1590	57.54	76.2	"	"	16.876	-0.999		
4	1410	57.70	152.4	"	"	16.447	-0.570		
5	1675	57.46	115.6	"	"	16.919	-1.042		
6	1220	57.44	-	"	"	13.748	+2.139		
7	1700	57.40	-	"	"	17.546	-1.669		
Average			84.4	"	"	16.697	-0.820	15.877	95.63
				Final Normal 7 days					
1	1435	57.14	42.7	16.603	0.765	16.445	-0.607		
2	1295	57.07	120.0	"	"	16.271	-0.433		
3	1560	57.29	216.5	"	"	16.349	-0.511		
4	1455	57.23	114.9	"	"	16.502	-0.664		
5	1868	57.28	117.3	"	"	16.559	-0.721		
6	1820	56.78	-	"	"	16.309	-0.471		
7	1760	56.83	-	"	"	18.041	-0.203		
Average			87.3	"	"	16.639	-0.801	15.838	95.39

seemingly indicates that the food was less efficiently utilized during this period. However, if the mean nitrogen excretion of the two normal periods is compared with that of the fletcherizing period, a slight difference of 0.036 grams of nitrogen per day is noted. Comparing in the same way the protein utilization we note that the increase is but 0.22 per cent in favor of fletcherism. It may be concluded that even though meat residues of macroscopic size were found in the feces passed during undermastication, the total amount of meat residues was but slightly in excess of that in the normal feces.

Passing to the urinary data, the average daily nitrogen excretion of 16.369 grams during the preliminary period fell to 16.229 grams in the bolting period. This value rose to 16.697 grams in the fletcherizing period and fell to 16.639 grams in the final normal period.

The decreased urinary nitrogen excretion during food bolting might be explained by the fact that less of the ingested protein was hydrolytically split by the digestive juices. The increased output of fecal nitrogen coupled with the appearance of macroscopic meat residues in all stools from this period, lends support to this explanation. The fine division of the meat by fletcherism, on the other hand, probably induced the splitting of a larger quantity of protein by the digestive juices, and this in turn resulted in the appearance of an increased amount of exogenous nitrogen in the urine. As the daily nitrogen excretion was slightly higher during the final than in the preliminary normal period, it may be concluded that the effect of

fletcherizing the food extended into the subsequent period.

2. Subject J. Table II.

During the preliminary normal period protein was not so well utilized as in the subsequent periods. The average daily nitrogen output in feces was 1.306 grams, the utilization being 93.19 per cent. In the bolting period the daily nitrogen output was 1.266 grams, with a utilization value of 93.41 per cent. In the fletcherizing period the fecal nitrogen decreased, the average output being 0.906 grams per day. An accompanying increased utilization value of 95.32 per cent was manifest. An average output of 0.919 grams was sustained during the final normal period of the experiment. The utilization was only slightly decreased, the value here being 95.21 per cent. The incomplete utilization of protein during the preliminary period was undoubtedly due to the extremely nervous condition of J. His diary for one of the last days of the period reports, "Much gas on my stomach, a constant taste of the meat eaten, and a general feeling of lassitude". J was constipated during the last days of the period, the final feces not appearing until the fourth day of the subsequent period. It seems reasonable to infer that under these conditions digestion was somewhat sluggish and a consequent inefficient utilization of protein resulted.

The discrepancy in protein utilization between the preliminary and final normal periods is susceptible of another interpretation. The effects of over mastication may have extended into the final period, in other words, a specific adaptation of the protein digesting juices may have been induced by the fine division of the

Subject J.

TABLE II.

Day	Urine Volume cc	Body Weight kg.	Weight Stool gm.	Total Nitrogen(gms) Food	Feces	Urine	Nitrogen Balance gm.	Nitrogen Absorbed gm.	Utilization %
				Preliminary Normal 3 days					
1	1690	63.80	103.5	19.184	1.306	18.850	-0.942		
2	1707	63.55	147.0	"	"	18.798	-0.920		
3	1660	63.64	130.0	"	"	18.827	-0.949		
Average			126.8	"	"	18.827	-0.949	17.878	93.19
				Bolting 7 days.					
1	2110	63.09	125.5	19.184	1.266	18.519	-0.601		
2	1760	63.80	133.5	"	"	16.073	+1.845		
3	1595	63.30	70.2	"	"	19.150	-1.232		
4	1690	63.10	146.8	"	"	18.131	-0.213		
5	1960	63.18	69.4	"	"	17.872	+0.046		
6	1834	62.80	61.5	"	"	17.841	+0.031		
7	1815	62.70	-	"	"	18.345	-0.427		
Average			86.7	"	"	17.990	-0.072	17.918	93.41
				Fletcherizing 7 days					
1	1850	62.65	27.4	19.184	0.906	21.189	-2.911		
2	1640	62.52	90.0	"	"	19.946	-1.668		
3	1195	62.62	76.7	"	"	19.708	-1.430		
4	1440	62.75	141.0	"	"	20.130	-1.852		
5	1880	62.72	169.2	"	"	20.464	-2.186		
6	1707	62.57	80.5	"	"	19.845	-1.567		
7	2170	62.58	-	"	"	19.557	-1.279		
Average			83.5	"	"	20.118	-1.840	18.278	95.32
				Final Normal 7 days					
1	1490	62.23	40.2	19.184	0.919	19.960	-1.425		
2	1735	61.88	247.0	"	"	20.243	-1.926		
3	1790	62.05	123.6	"	"	20.062	-1.797		
4	1910	62 07	144.5	"	"	19.745	-1.480		
5	2320	61.91	87.7	"	"	19.396	-1.131		
6	1812	62.05	-	"	"	19.353	-1.188		
7	2422	61.76	-	"	"	19.431	-1.166		
Average			92.0	"	"	19.703	-1.438	18.265	95.21

protein through complete mastication. Neilson and Terry (7) have reported adaptations in the salivary glands of dogs. These investigators found that the saliva of dogs fed on bread exhibited stronger amylolytic power than that of meat-fed dogs. Neilson & Lewis (8) found evidence of adaptation in human saliva, while Simon (9) reported a greater amylolytic activity in human saliva on carbohydrate diet than on either a mixed or protein diet. Mendel, Chapman and Blood (10), Carlson, and Chittenden (11), Garry (12), and Wohlgemuth (13), have failed to detect any evidence of adaptation of human saliva to diet, even as a result of years of feeding a particular diet. Walther (14) holds that adaptation of the digestive juices may occur if a particular diet be maintained some time, while Labosov (15) has noted lasting alterations in the gastric glands as the result of altered dietetic conditions. London and Krym (16) failed to determine any specific adaptation in the intestinal secretion of dogs. London & Lukin (17) as well as London and Dobrowohkaja (18) failed to detect any adaptation of digestive juices as a result of feeding a particular food. A review of the literature on the subject of adaptation seems to justify the following conclusions of Mendel, Chapman and Blood (10): "It is difficult to conceive of a sudden adaptation resulting from several days feeding of a certain diet as advocated by the Pawlow school. The assumption of an entirely new role by a secretion or gland as the result of a few days feeding is scarcely a biological probability." It seems probable that in the case of J the output of fecal nitrogen and consequent protein utilization during the final period of the experiment, should be considered more nearly normal values. The

abnormal condition of J during the preliminary period would seem to explain his lowered utilization.

More pronounced differences in the amounts of urinary nitrogen excreted in the several periods were noted in the case of J than was true with F. The daily average of 18.827 grams in the preliminary fell to 17.990 grams in the bolting period and rose to 20.118 grams in the fletcherizing period. As in the experiment on F a higher value in the final normal than in the preliminary normal period was noted, this output in case of J being 19.703 grams. The lowered nitrogen excretion during bolting, and its marked increase during fletcherizing, undoubtedly indicates that the degree of comminution of protein food determines, to a certain extent at least, the amount of hydrolytic cleavage by the digestive juices.

B. Composition of the Feces

1. Metabolic Products.

It was formerly believed that all, or nearly all, of the nitrogen of the feces was due to undigested food. Voit (19) first showed that this was not necessarily true; that digestive juices and epithelium might leave residues when he found that fasting dogs eliminate a dark colored feces. The mucous membrane of the intestine by its secretion and by the abundant quantity of detached epithelium contributes essentially to the formation of feces. This follows from the finding of L. Hermann (20) that a clean, isolated loop of intestine collects material similar to feces.

In studies on food utilization it is important to know what part of the fecal nitrogen is metabolic in origin and what portion is due to undigested food. At present no sharp method of separation is known. Tsuboi (21) has shown that on a flesh diet animals eliminate a feces consisting largely of metabolic products, the composition of which is widely different from that of the ingested meat. There was no proportionality between the amounts of nitrogen fed and the metabolic nitrogen excreted; neither did moderate amounts of nitrogen-free food appreciably alter the character of the feces. This investigator concluded that the greater part of the fecal dry matter is metabolic in origin. Rieder (22) found more nitrogen in feces on a nitrogen-free diet than in fasting feces. Later, this same investigator, using men and dogs as subjects found an increase in the fecal nitrogen excretion with the amount of nitrogen-free food fed. Tsuboi reported a similar finding. Rubner (23) found as much nitrogen in feces from a flour, sugar, starch diet as the average from a meat diet. Using diets varying widely in composition, he obtained feces that differed but slightly in nitrogen content. The per centage of nitrogen in the feces was greater than in the food, except in cases of slightly utilized vegetable foods. Prausnitz (24) held that the composition of human feces never resembles that of ingested food, but on account of residual intestinal juices nearly always has a higher nitrogen content. This investigator also believed that human feces consist largely of residues not of ingested food but of intestinal secretions. Prausnitz reported meat residues in quantities varying from 1-.3%

of the moist feces, or 0.2%-1% of the ingested meat. The amount of feces, he holds, is dependent upon the character of the food, as some varieties require larger amounts of intestinal juices for digestion than others. H. Fletcher (6) reports a feces of very small volume, pillular in form and absolutely odorless, as the result of proper mastication of food with its consequent reduction in the amount ingested.

Regarding utilization, Tsuboi (21) maintained that previous work gave values that were too low, and concluded that as nitrogen is continually being lost in the feces, to preserve body equilibrium it is necessary to replace this as well as the nitrogen excreted in the urine. Mendel and Fine (25) believe that upon the ingestion of a meat diet, whose utilization as usually calculated is say 95 per cent, the resulting feces are for the most part metabolic in origin. From the accumulated evidence it is reasonable to assume that a mixed diet gives fecal nitrogen almost all of which is metabolic in origin.

2. Bacteria in Feces.

It has been stated that one-third of the dry matter of human feces consists of bacteria, and at least one-half of the total nitrogen content is of bacterial origin (26). It is a well-known fact that the bacteria of the large intestine depend to a large extent for their nutrition upon the residues, not only of unabsorbed intestinal juices, but also of undigested protein food. Consequently, a quantitative determination of the bacterial nitrogen in feces gives no absolute value, but one that necessarily includes a portion of the nitrogen due to undi-

gested food residues. For this reason fecal bacteria should not be included as metabolic products. However, as may readily be recognized, it is impossible experimentally to separate the metabolic from the non-metabolic products of feces.

3. Estimation of Metabolic Nitrogen in Feces.

It has been suggested that for every gram of total nitrogen in feces, 0.92 grams arise from residues of digestive juices, while the remaining 0.08 grams are due to unutilized protein food. Though this correction was not based upon any experimental data, it has been used to some extent in calculating utilization values.

To determine experimentally as nearly as possible the portion of total fecal nitrogen due to metabolic products, the method of Mendel and Fine (25) was employed. At the close of the final period of the experiment, the average daily output of feces by each subject during the four periods was calculated. A nitrogen-free diet of equal calorific value to that previously ingested was chosen. To supplement this diet agar-agar was added in amounts to bring the daily output of feces up to approximately the average daily output during the experiment.

Agar-agar or galactan, is especially valuable in cases like the present. It gives bulk and softness to the feces and facilitates their elimination. Further, (27) being resistant to bacterial action it causes neither gas formation nor the production of harmful decomposition products. Though in certain of the herbivora common agar may be utilized up to 50 per cent, Lohrisch (28) found that in man it is digestible to but a very small extent. The minute quantities digested are probably hydrolyzed to

galactose. The indigestibility of agar is recognized and therefore it is given in cases of constipation. Schmidt (29) believes it to be the best form of cellulose for the correction of constipation, as it is high in hygroscopic properties, non-irritant and absolutely insoluble and unabsorbable.

The feces obtained from the nitrogen-free diet were analyzed for total nitrogen, and daily averages calculated as in the experiment proper. Corrections were applied for the nitrogen of the unutilized butter, carmine and gelatine capsule. This resultant value was subtracted from the average daily nitrogen output of each period. The difference was assumed to be the N due to unabsorbed residues of meat, and the protein utilization was calculated on this basis.

The following nitrogen-free diet was selected for determining the metabolic-nitrogen of the feces:

TABLE III.

F			J		
	Amount	Grams N.		Amount	Grams N.
Butter	300	.31	Butter	320	.33
Sugar	128		Sugar	128	
Agar-Agar	20		Agar-Agar	25	
Water	2900		Water	3100	
Salt	1.6		Salt	2	

Assuming that the butter was 90 per cent utilized, 10 per cent of the butter nitrogen should appear in the feces. Correction was made for this nitrogen. The actual water ingestion was greater than during the experiment, when the subjects had been receiving milk which contained approximately 87 per cent water.

The water represented in the daily milk intake was calculated and the amount added to the original intake. The diet was ingested throughout a four-day period, during which time the usual routine of the experiment was followed as previously described.

a. Subject F.

The daily output of feces was 166.5 grams, a much greater average than during the experiment. The average nitrogen content of the output was 0.5 grams. No doubt this value is too high, inasmuch as the volume of feces during this period was nearly twice that obtained during the ingestion of the experimental diet and we would be justified in expecting an increase in metabolic nitrogen with an increase in bulk of the material in the intestine. The actual relationship could only be determined by a separate experiment. In Tables IV and V are found corrections for fecal nitrogen. It should be noted that after applying this high value as a correction the excretion of nitrogen in form of food residues is still approximately a quarter of a gram per day during normal mastication. The utilization percentages calculated on the basis of the above correction are of course somewhat higher than those in Table I, but undoubtedly they are more nearly correct values.

By calculating the metabolic nitrogen from a bulk of feces equal to that excreted during the experiment proper, a smaller correction was obtained. The metabolic nitrogen was calculated by a direct proportion as follows: Daily output of feces from experimental diet: Daily output of feces from nitrogen-free diet : : Daily output of metabolic nitrogen during experiment :

Subject F. Table IV.

Day	Weight Stool	Total Nitrogen Feces	Fecal Nitrogen From Butter	Metabolic Nitrogen
	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.
1	151.5	0.474	0.031	
2	127.3	0.420	"	
3	171.0	0.581	"	
4	216.0	0.687	"	
Aver-age	166.5	0.531 *	0.031	0.500

*Corrected for nitrogen contained in capsule and in carmine.

Subject F. Table V.

Period	Total Nitrogen Food	Total Nitrogen Feces	Metabolic Nitrogen	Nitrogen in Feces from Unabsorbed Food	Nitrogen Absorbed	Utilization
	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	%
Normal	16.603	0.759	0.500	0.259	16.344	98.44
Bolting	"	0.948	"	0.448	16.155	97.30
Fletcher-izing	"	0.726	"	0.226	16.377	98.64
Normal	"	0.765	"	0.265	16.338	98.40

Daily output of nitrogen from nitrogen-free diet, or

89.1 : 166.5 : : M. : 0.500 M = 0.268 grams per day.

The corrected fecal nitrogen output and the corresponding utilization values are found in Table VI. In using the correction obtained by calculation tacit assumption is made that the content of metabolic nitrogen is proportional to the volume of feces excreted. Although there are no experimental data covering this point, it is hardly reasonable to expect that such would be the case. It is quite probable that 0.268 grams of metabolic nitrogen per day is a low value. The original value of 0.5 grams per day probably is more nearly normal, although the actual output undoubtedly lies between this and the 0.268 grams obtained by calculation.

b. Subject J.

The daily output of feces, from the ditrogen-free diet, was 124.3 grams, in the case of J. As the average daily excretion during the experiment was 97.3 grams, the discrepancy here was less than that manifested in the case of F. The metabolic nitrogen output (Table VII) and the corrected utilization percentages (Table VIII) should be considered more nearly accurate than were the corresponding values in the case of F. The calculation for metabolic nitrogen was made as previously described:

97.3 : 124.3 : : M : 0.552 where M = 0.432 grams per day.

In Table IX may be found the values obtained by using this correction. The results expressed in Table VIII are undoubtedly more nearly normal.

Correction for Nitrogen in Unabsorbed Butter

Subject J. Table VII.

Day	Weight Stool	Total Nitrogen Feces	Fecal Nitrogen From Butter	Metabolic Nitrogen
	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.
1	-	-	0.033	
2	93.3	0.448	"	
3	189.5	0.967	"	
4	214.5	0.965	"	
Average.	124.3	0.585*	0.033	0.552

*Corrected for the nitrogen contained in capsule and in carmine.

Correction for Metabolic Nitrogen.

Subject J. Table VIII.

Period	Total Nitrogen Food	Total Nitrogen Feces	Metabolic Nitrogen	Nitrogen in Feces from Unabsorbed Food.	Nitrogen Absorbed	Utilization.
	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	%
Normal	19.184	1.306	0.552	0.754	18.430	96.07
Bolting	"	1.266	"	0.714	18.470	96.27
Fletcherizing.	"	0.906	"	0.354	18.830	98.15
Normal	"	0.919	"	0.367	18.817	98.09

Subject F. Table VI.

Period	Total Nitrogen Food	Total Nitrogen Feces	Metabolic Nitrogen	Nitrogen in Feces from Unabsorbed Food	Nitrogen Absorbed	Utilization %
		gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	
Normal	16.603	0.759	0.268	0.491	16.112	97.04
Bolting	"	0.948	"	0.680	15.923	95.39
Fletcherizing	"	0.726	"	0.458	16.145	97.24
Normal	"	0.765	"	0.497	16.106	97.01

Subject J. Table IX.

Period	Total Nitrogen Food	Total Nitrogen Feces	Metabolic Nitrogen	Nitrogen in Feces from Unabsorbed Food	Nitrogen Absorbed	Utilization %
	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	gm.	
Normal	19.184	1.306	0.432	0.874	18.310	95.45
Bolting	"	1.266	"	0.834	18.350	95.65
Fletcherizing	"	0.906	"	0.474	18.710	97.52
Normal	"	0.919	"	0.487	18.697	97.46

IV. GENERAL DISCUSSION

In establishing the digestibility of a food Best (30) has suggested that we mustrespect its influence on secretion, its absorption and sojourn in the stomach and intestine. It is a well-known fact that meat remains a relatively long time in the stomach. Tabler (31) has shown that the stomach acts as a reservoir for protein and that considerable absorption takes place there. Chittenden (2) has taken this same view, although he believes that absorption is slow. Fermi (32) found that finely hacked or well chewed meat was more easily digested by dogs than either coarsely cut or poorly chewed material. Conheim (33) fed two fistulated dogs with meat, the first receiving it in finely hashed condition, the second in the form of cubes of 1-3 centimeters diameter. In the case of the first dog the material left the stomach 1 hour and 35 minutes after ingestion, 59 per cent being digested. The meat cubes remained in the stomach of the second dog 2 hours and 31 minutes, 92 per cent being digested. It was evident that although the cubes remained longer in the stomach, peptonization was markedly higher. Conheim concluded that the ingestion of finely chopped meat places more work on the intestine than on the stomach; whereas the reverse is true in case of ingestion of cubes. In one case trypsin brought into solution 0.13 grams of nitrogen; in the other 0.8 grams. London and Polovzova (34) found that with few exceptions, proteins are not absorbed in the stomach, but that most proteins are made soluble in the stomach to the extent of about 78 per cent 92 per cent of this soluble material consists of proteoses and

peptones, which is quickly attacked by the intestinal juices. It has been shown by Zunz (35) that enzymes of the stomach have the ability to hydrolyze protein to peptones, proteoses, and amino acids, yet the length of time required is far in excess of that during which protein remains in the stomach.

It seems reasonable to suppose in the case of man that bolted meat would remain longer in the stomach than material which had been reduced to a state of fine division through fletcherism. Abderhalden and Meyer (36) have demonstrated that the activity of pepsin is not necessarily confined to the stomach, but that it may be continued in the intestine. The proteins undigested in the stomach absorb the enzyme and carry it into the intestine. Following the digestion of these proteins by the contained enzyme, this released pepsin is free to digest other proteins as well, since the reaction of the intestinal canal is often acid. It would seem from these findings that in food bolting, peptic digestion in the intestinal canal may have an important bearing.

Despite the claim that fletcherism (38) and the use of smaller quantities of food decrease the number of intestinal bacteria, Metchnikoff (3) does not agree that putrefaction is prevented. It is logical to infer that for the optimum utilization of starchy foods, habits of thorough mastication should be encouraged with the purpose of increasing the digestion of the material at the beginning of the alimentary tract.

Concerning the utilization of meat protein, it may be concluded that the results of this investigation fail to demonstrate the advantages of fletcherism or the harmfulness of food-bolting.

V. Summary

1. The question of the influence of mastication on the utilization of protein food was made the subject of this investigation. So far as could be determined, no work has been done on this problem; the statements of Fletcher and his associates are mainly theoretical assumptions.

2. Normal men were fed a fairly high nitrogen diet, the principal protein constituent of which was cooked beef in the form of 15 millimeter cubes. The experiment was divided into four periods: (1) Preliminary normal; (2) bolting; (3) fletcherizing; (4) final normal. Feces and urine were analyzed for total nitrogen.

3. The output of fecal nitrogen was highest during the food bolting; that during fletcherism was lowest. Protein utilization was most complete as the result of fletcherism, and least complete when bolting was practiced. The discrepancies, however, averaged only 1.6 per cent.Utilization during fletcherism averaged 0.17 per cent higher than during normal mastication.

4. During food bolting macroscopic meat residues appeared in every stool. In a single case the amount was 16.5 grams.

5. The fineness of the protein may determine the amount of its hydrolytic cleavage. This fact was shown by the higher nitrogen content of the urine in the fletcherizing period and the lowered output during bolting.

6. A nitrogen-free diet was fed through a four-day period at the close of the experiment proper. Total nitrogen was deter-

mined in the feces. The purpose of this was to determine as nearly as possible the average daily output of fecal metabolic nitrogen. This value was applied as a correction, and more accurate utilization data were thus obtained.

7. The fact that pepsin may be absorbed in the stomach by particles of undigested food and carried into the small intestine to aid further in protein hydrolysis has an important bearing on the question of the digestion of bolted meat.

8. Fletcherism of starchy foods should be encouraged so as to insure the digestion of a large quantity of material in the mouth.

9. The results of the present experiment so far as protein utilization is concerned do not support the claims of Horace Fletcher and his followers for the efficiency of excessive mastication of food, nor do they demonstrate the harmfulness of food-bolting to the organism.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Campbell, Lancet, July 11, 1903.
2. Chittenden,"Nutrition of Man".
3. Metchnikoff, "Prolongation of Life "
4. Einhorn, Cited by Metchnikoff, loc.cit.
5. Brown, "Parcimony in Nutrition."
6. H. Fletcher, "New Glutton or Epicure."
7. Neilson and Terry, American Journal of Physiology, v.15,406.
8. Neilson and Lewis, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 4,501,(1908)
9. Simon, Cited by Neilson and Lewis, loc.cit.
10. Mendel, Chapman and Blood, Collected Papers from Physiological Chemistry Laboratory of the Sheffield Scientific School.
11. Carlson and Crittenden, American Journal of Physiology,26,(1910).
12. Garry, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 3,11.
13. Wohlgemuth, Cited by Mendel, Chapman and Blood, loc.cit.
14. Pawlow, "The Work of the Digestive Glands", p.43.
15. Pawlow, Loc. cit., p.46.
16. London and Krym, Zeitschrift für Physiologische Chemie, 74,325.
17. London and Lukin, Zeitschrift fur Physiologische Chemie,68,366, (1910).
18. London and Dobrowohkaja, Cited by London and Krym, loc. cit.
19. Voit, cited by Mattill and Hawk, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 33,12, (1911)
20. Hermann, Hammarsten's Physiological Chemistry, 6th edition, p.499
21. Tsuboi, Zeitschrift für Biologie, 35,68 (1897).
22. Rieder, Cited by Tsuboi, loc. cit.
23. Rubner, Cited by Tsuboi, loc. cit.
24. Prausnitz, Zeitschrift für Biologie, 35,334, (1897)
25. Mendel and Fine, Journal of Biological Chemistry, XI,5(1912).

26. Strasburger, Cited by Mattill and Hawk, loc. cit.

MacNeal, Latzer, and Kerr, cited by Mattill and Hawk, loc.cit.

Mattill and Hawk, Journal of Experimental Medicine, 14,433(1911)

27. Swartz, Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences, 16, 247, (1911)

28. Lohrisch, cited by Swartz, loc.cit.

29. Schmidt, Münchener Medicinische Wochenschrift, 41, (1905)

30. Best, Deutsches Archiv. für Klinische Medicin, 104,94,(1911).

31. Tabler, Cited by Best, loc. cit.

32. Fermi, Cited by Best, loc. cit.

33. Conheim, Münchener Medicinische Wochenschrift, 54,2582, (1907)

34. London and Polovzova, Zeitschrift für Physiologische Chemie, 49,328, (1906), and 57,113, (1908).

35. Zunz, Beiträge zur Chemischen Physiologie und Pathologie, 3,339 (1903).

36. Abderhalden and Meyer, Zeitschrift für Physiologische Chemie, 74,67, (1911)

37. H. Fletcher, "The A,B,Z of our Nutrition."

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA
3 0112 079827694